

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMNERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/700,823	11/04/2003	Mohammad Saberan	LEAR 04661 PUSP / 04661	6256
34007	7590 10/27/2004		EXAM	INER
BROOKS KUSHMAN P.C. / LEAR CORPORATION 1000 TOWN CENTER			NELSON JR, MILTON	
	ECOND FLOOR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SOUTHFIEI	LD, MI 48075-1238		3636	

DATE MAILED: 10/27/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/700,823	SABERAN ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Milton Nelson, Jr.	3636				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 18 October 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applice it is applicated and the standard which are the same about the same application and the same applications.	cation. A proper reply to a ch places the application in				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejections.	etion(s):					
 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 						
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.						
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	•					
Claim(s) allowed: 20.						
Claim(s) objected to: <u>3-11 and 13-19</u> .		·				
Claim(s) rejected: 1.2 and 12.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·				
10. Other:		Milton Nelson, Jr. Primary Examiner				
		Art Unit: 3636				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

Continuation of 5.

Applicant argues that the back rest assembly of Arai (6196613) is disengaged from the track assembly in order to change configuration between the use position and the stadium position. It can be seen that the backrest assembly (16, 22) of Arai remains engaged with the track assembly (3) at all times. Note that the bottom end of portion 22 of the backrest assembly is not disengaged at any time during the configuration change. As such, the backrest assembly maintains engagement with the track assembly.